

**REMARKS**

This response places the above-referenced patent application in better condition for allowance, and therefore, is a proper response after Final pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.116.

The title is amended to reflect subject matter of the claims.

Claims 71 and 77-79 are canceled.

Claims 40-70 and 72-76 are allowed.


Claim 48 is objected to because of an informality. Claim 48 is amended for clarity as suggested by the Examiner, and therefore, the objection is rendered moot. Moreover, being made for clarity, this amendment is not related to the statutory requirements of patentability. The amendment more positively expresses limitations which were previously inherent in the claim, and accordingly, is not for the purpose of narrowing and does not effectively narrow the scope of claim 48. Claim 48 is allowable.

Dependent claim 56 is amended for consistency with the language of claim 48 from which claim 56 depends. Consequently, this amendment is not related to the statutory requirements of patentability. The amendment more positively expresses limitations which were previously inherent in the claim, and accordingly, is not for the purpose of narrowing and does not effectively narrow the scope of claim 56. Claim 56 is allowable.

This application is now believed to be in immediate condition for allowance and action to that end is respectfully requested. If the Examiner's next anticipated action is to be anything other than a Notice of Allowance, the undersigned respectfully requests a telephone interview prior to issuance of any such subsequent action.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 7-5-07

By:   
D. Brent Kenady  
Reg. No. 40,045